# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

| BLUE SPIKE, LLC,  Plaintiff,      | §<br>§<br>§ | Civil Action No. 6:12-CV-499-LED |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| v.<br>TEXAS INSTRUMENTS, INC.     | & & & & &   | (LEAD CASE)                      |
| Defendants.                       | &<br>&<br>& | JURY TRIAL DEMANDED              |
| BLUE SPIKE, LLC,                  | §<br>§      |                                  |
| Plaintiff,                        | §           |                                  |
| v.                                | §<br>§      | Civil Action No. 6:12-CV-576-LED |
| AUDIBLE MAGIC CORPORATION, ET AL. | §<br>§<br>§ | (CONSOLIDATED WITH 6:12-CV-499)  |
| Defendants.                       | §<br>§<br>§ | JURY TRIAL DEMANDED              |

# DAILYMOTION, INC.'S ANSWER TO BLUE SPIKE LLC'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Defendant Dailymotion, Inc. ("Dailymotion") answers the Original Complaint of Blue Spike LLC ("Blue Spike") as follows:

# NATURE OF THE SUIT

1. The allegations in this paragraph state a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Dailymotion admits that paragraph 1 purports to assert a claim arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code, and in all other respects denies the allegations of paragraph 1.

## **PARTIES**

- 2. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 2, and therefore denies them.
- 3. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 3, and therefore denies them.
- 4. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 4, and therefore denies them.
- 5. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 5, and therefore denies them.
- 6. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 6, and therefore denies them.
- 7. Dailymotion admits that it is a Delaware corporation. Dailymotion admits that it has appointed National Registered Agents, Inc., located at 160 Greentree Drive, Ste. 101, Dover, Delaware, 19904 as its agent for service of process. Dailymotion denies that it maintains a principal place of business at 80 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue, New York, New York 10011. Dailymotion denies that it does business in the State of Texas or in the Eastern District of Texas. Except as expressly admitted, Dailymotion denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 7.
- 8. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 8, and therefore denies them.
- 9. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 9, and therefore denies them.
- 10. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 10, and therefore denies them.

- 11. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 11, and therefore denies them.
- 12. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 12, and therefore denies them.
- 13. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 13, and therefore denies them.
- 14. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 14, and therefore denies them.
- 15. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 15, and therefore denies them.
- 16. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 16, and therefore denies them.
- 17. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 17, and therefore denies them.
- 18. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 18, and therefore denies them.
- 19. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 19, and therefore denies them.
- 20. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 20, and therefore denies them.
- 21. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 21, and therefore denies them.

3

- 22. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 22, and therefore denies them.
- 23. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 23, and therefore denies them.
- 24. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 24, and therefore denies them.
- 25. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 25, and therefore denies them.
- 26. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 26, and therefore denies them.

# **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 27. The allegations in this paragraph state a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Dailymotion admits that the Complaint purports to be an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq*. Dailymotion admits that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1338(a) and 1331. Except as expressly admitted, Dailymotion denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 27 that are directed to Dailymotion.
- 28. The allegations in this paragraph state a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Dailymotion denies that it is subject to the personal jurisdiction of this Court. Dailymotion does not waive any argument that this Court lacks jurisdiction over Dailymotion by filing this answer. Dailymotion denies that it has committed, contributed to, or induced any act of infringement. Dailymotion denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 28 that are directed to Dailymotion. Dailymotion is without

knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 28 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

29. The allegations in this paragraph state a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Dailymotion denies that venue is proper in the Tyler Division of the Eastern District of Texas, and denies that this judicial district is the most convenient forum for the parties and witnesses or in the interests of justice. By filing this answer, Dailymotion does not waive any argument that venue is not proper in this District as to Dailymotion. Dailymotion denies that it has committed any act of infringement. Dailymotion denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 29 that are directed to Dailymotion. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 29 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

## **JOINDER**

30. The allegations in this paragraph state a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed to be required, Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 30 that are directed to Dailymotion. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 30 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

# FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 31. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 31, and therefore denies them.
- 32. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 32, and therefore denies them.

- 33. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 33, and therefore denies them.
- 34. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 34, and therefore denies them.
- 35. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 35, and therefore denies them.
- 36. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 36, and therefore denies them.
- 37. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 37, and therefore denies them.
- 38. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 38, and therefore denies them.
- 39. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 39, and therefore denies them.
- 40. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 40, and therefore denies them.
- 41. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 41, and therefore denies them.
- 42. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 42, and therefore denies them.
- 43. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 43, and therefore denies them.

- 44. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 44, and therefore denies them.
- 45. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 45, and therefore denies them.
- 46. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 46, and therefore denies them.
- 47. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 47, and therefore denies them.
- 48. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 48, and therefore denies them.
- 49. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 49, and therefore denies them.
- 50. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 50, and therefore denies them.
- 51. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 51, and therefore denies them.
- 52. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 52, and therefore denies them.
- 53. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 53, and therefore denies them.
- 54. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 54, and therefore denies them.

- 55. Dailymotion admits that it operates the Dailymotion website. Dailymotion denies that it has committed any act of infringement. Dailymotion denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 55.
- 56. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 56, and therefore denies them.
- 57. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 57, and therefore denies them.
- 58. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 58, and therefore denies them.
- 59. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 59, and therefore denies them.
- 60. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 60, and therefore denies them.
- 61. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 61, and therefore denies them.
- 62. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 62, and therefore denies them.
- 63. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 63, and therefore denies them.
- 64. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 64, and therefore denies them.
- 65. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 65, and therefore denies them.

- 66. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 66, and therefore denies them.
- 67. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 67, and therefore denies them.
- 68. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 68, and therefore denies them.
- 69. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 69, and therefore denies them.
- 70. Dailymotion denies that it has committed any act of infringement. Dailymotion denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 70 that are directed to Dailymotion. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 70 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.
- 71. Dailymotion denies that a license for any of Blue Spike's patented technologies is necessary. Dailymotion admits that it has not sought a license from Blue Spike. Dailymotion denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 71 that are directed to Dailymotion. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 71 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.
- 72. Dailymotion denies that it has committed any act of infringement. Dailymotion denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 72 that are directed to Dailymotion. Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 72 that are directed to other defendants, and therefore denies them.

## COUNT 1

# **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,214,175**

- 73. Dailymotion incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 72 above.
- 74. Dailymotion admits that, on its face, U.S. Patent No. 8,214,175 ("the '175 patent") is titled "Method and Device for Monitoring and Analyzing Signals." Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 74, and therefore denies them.
- 75. Dailymotion admits that, on its face, the '175 patent states that it was issued on July 3, 2012. Dailymotion admits that the '175 patent is attached as Exhibit A to the complaint. Dailymotion denies the remainder of the allegations of paragraph 75.
  - 76. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 76.
  - 77. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 77.
  - 78. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 78.
  - 79. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 79.
  - 80. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 80.

#### COUNT 2

### INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,949,494

- 81. Dailymotion incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 80 above.
- 82. Dailymotion admits that, on its face, U.S. Patent No. 7,949,494 ("the '494 patent") is titled "Method and Device for Monitoring and Analyzing Signals." Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 82, and therefore denies them.

- 83. Dailymotion admits that, on its face, the '494 patent states that it was issued on May 24, 2011. Dailymotion admits that the '494 patent is attached as Exhibit B to the complaint. Dailymotion denies the remainder of the allegations of paragraph 83.
  - 84. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 84.
  - 85. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 85.
  - 86. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 86.
  - 87. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 87.
  - 88. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 88.

#### COUNT 3

#### **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,660,700**

- 89. Dailymotion incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 88 above.
- 90. Dailymotion admits that, on its face, U.S. Patent No. 7,660,700 ("the '700 patent") is titled "Method and Device for Monitoring and Analyzing Signals." Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 90, and therefore denies them.
- 91. Dailymotion admits that, on its face, the '700 patent states that it was issued on February 9, 2010. Dailymotion admits that the '700 patent is attached as Exhibit C to the complaint. Dailymotion denies the remainder of the allegations of paragraph 91.
  - 92. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 92.
  - 93. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 93.
  - 94. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 94.
  - 95. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 95.
  - 96. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 96.

#### COUNT 4

## **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,346,472**

- 97. Dailymotion incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 96 above.
- 98. Dailymotion admits that, on its face, U.S. Patent No. 7,346,472 ("the '472 patent") is titled "Method and Device for Monitoring and Analyzing Signals." Dailymotion is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations of paragraph 98, and therefore denies them.
- 99. Dailymotion admits that, on its face, the '472 patent states that it was issued on March 18, 2008. Dailymotion admits that the '472 patent is attached as Exhibit D to the complaint. Dailymotion denies the remainder of the allegations of paragraph 99.
  - 100. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 100.
  - 101. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 101.
  - 102. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 102.
  - 103. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 103.
  - 104. Dailymotion denies the allegations of paragraph 104.

#### **REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

Dailymotion incorporates by reference its responses to paragraphs 1 through 104 above. Dailymotion denies that Blue Spike is entitled to any relief whatsoever against Dailymotion in this action, either as prayed for in the Original Complaint or otherwise.

Except as expressly admitted above, Dailymotion denies each and every allegation contained in the Original Complaint.

# AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

## FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

### (Forum Non Conveniens)

1. The Eastern District of Texas is an inconvenient forum in which to litigate this action against Dailymotion under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).

### **SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

# (Failure to State a Claim)

2. The Original Complaint for Patent Infringement fails to state a claim on which relief can be granted.

# THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Non-infringement)

3. Dailymotion has not and does not willfully or otherwise infringe, contribute to the infringement of, or actively induce others to infringe, any claim of the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents.

#### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

# (Invalidity)

4. The '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents, including all of the claims, are invalid for failure to comply with the requirements of patentability specified in Title 35 of the United States Code, including Sections 101, 102, 103, and 112.

### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Failure to Mark)

5. Prior to receiving a copy of the complaint in this action, Dailymotion did not have notice of Blue Spike's allegations of infringement. Upon information and belief, neither Blue Spike nor Blue Spike's licensees have marked instrumentalities that embody any of the claims of

the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents with proper notice of the patents in compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 287. Blue Spike is not entitled to any pre-filing damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 287 for any claims to which 35 U.S.C. § 287 applies.

#### SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

# (Prosecution History Estoppel)

6. Based on proceedings before the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") during the prosecution of the application that ultimately issued as the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents, Blue Spike is precluded or otherwise estopped from asserting any construction of the claims of the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents that is inconsistent with its or its predecessor(s)-in-interest's representations before the PTO. On information and belief, Blue Spike is estopped from asserting any construction of the claims sufficiently broad to cover or include any product made, used, sold, offered for sale within the United States, or imported into the United States, by Dailymotion.

#### SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

### (Laches, Waiver, Acquiescence, Estoppel, and Unclean Hands)

7. Blue Spike's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the equitable doctrines of laches, waiver, acquiescence, estoppel, and/or unclean hands.

### EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

#### (Limitation on Damages and Remedies)

8. Blue Spike's claims and prayer for relief are barred in whole or in part by 35 U.S.C. §§ 286, 287, and/or 288.

#### NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

## (Unavailability of Injunctive Relief)

9. Blue Spike is not entitled to injunctive relief or any other equitable relief because any alleged injury to Blue Spike is not irreparable and because – had Blue Spike been injured – it would have an adequate remedy at law.

# TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

### (Lack of Standing/Failure to Join Necessary Party)

10. Blue Spike lacks standing to bring a patent infringement action based on the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents and/or has failed to join a necessary party under Fed. R. Civ. P. 19.

# **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

(Single Recovery Rule)

11. Plaintiff's damages are barred in whole or in part by the single recovery rule.

### TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

### (License/Implied License/Patent Exhaustion)

12. Plaintiff's damages are barred in whole or in part by the defenses of license, implied license, and/or patent exhaustion.

#### **COUNTERCLAIMS**

For its counterclaims against Blue Spike, Dailymotion alleges as follows:

- 1. Dailymotion incorporates what is set out in the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 2. Blue Spike's complaint has established an actual and justiciable controversy between Blue Spike and Dailymotion with respect to validity and infringement of the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents.

3. Dailymotion brings these counterclaims without waiving its right to argue that venue in the Eastern District of Texas is improper and inconvenient.

# **COUNT ONE**

# (Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement of the '175 Patent)

- 4. Dailymotion incorporates paragraphs 1-3 of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.
- 5. Blue Spike alleges that Dailymotion has infringed the '175 patent, contributed to the infringement of and/or actively induced others to literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents infringe one or more claims of the '175 patent by having made, made on its behalf, offered for sale, sold, provided, used, maintained and supported infringing methods, products and/or systems listed in the Complaint.
- 6. Dailymotion does not and has not infringed, contributed to the infringement of, or induced others to literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents infringe any claim of the '175 patent.
- 7. Dailymotion is entitled to a declaratory judgment that it has not infringed and is not infringing the '175 patent.

#### **COUNT TWO**

### (Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of the '175 Patent)

- 8. Dailymotion incorporates paragraphs 1-7 of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.
- 9. One or more claims of the '175 patent are invalid for failure to comply with the requirements of patentability specified in Title 35 of the United States Code, including Sections 101, 102, 103 and 112.

10. Dailymotion is entitled to a declaratory judgment that one or more claims of the '175 patent are invalid.

# **COUNT THREE**

# (Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement of the '494 Patent)

- 11. Dailymotion incorporates paragraphs 1-10 of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.
- 12. Blue Spike alleges that Dailymotion has infringed the '494 patent, contributed to the infringement of and/or actively induced others to literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents infringe one or more claims of the '494 patent by having made, made on its behalf, offered for sale, sold, provided, used, maintained and supported infringing methods, products and/or systems listed in the Complaint.
- 13. Dailymotion does not and has not infringed, contributed to the infringement of, or induced others to literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents infringe any claim of the '494 patent.
- 14. Dailymotion is entitled to a declaratory judgment that it has not infringed and is not infringing the '494 patent.

# **COUNT FOUR**

# (Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of the '494 Patent)

- 15. Dailymotion incorporates paragraphs 1-14 of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.
- 16. One or more claims of the '494 patent are invalid for failure to comply with the requirements of patentability specified in Title 35 of the United States Code, including Sections 101, 102, 103 and 112.

17. Dailymotion is entitled to a declaratory judgment that one or more claims of the '494 patent are invalid.

# **COUNT FIVE**

# (Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement of the '700 Patent)

- 18. Dailymotion incorporates paragraphs 1-17 of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.
- 19. Blue Spike alleges that Dailymotion has infringed the '700 patent, contributed to the infringement of and/or actively induced others to literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents infringe one or more claims of the '700 patent by having made, made on its behalf, offered for sale, sold, provided, used, maintained and supported infringing methods, products and/or systems listed in the Complaint.
- 20. Dailymotion does not and has not infringed, contributed to the infringement of, or induced others to literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents infringe any claim of the '700 patent.
- 21. Dailymotion is entitled to a declaratory judgment that it has not infringed and is not infringing the '700 patent.

#### **COUNT SIX**

### (Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of the '700 Patent)

- 22. Dailymotion incorporates paragraphs 1-21 of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. One or more claims of the '700 patent are invalid for failure to comply with the requirements of patentability specified in Title 35 of the United States Code, including Sections 101, 102, 103 and 112.

24. Dailymotion is entitled to a declaratory judgment that one or more claims of the '700 patent are invalid.

### **COUNT SEVEN**

# (Declaratory Judgment of Non-Infringement of the '472 Patent)

- 25. Dailymotion incorporates paragraphs 1-24 of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.
- 26. Blue Spike alleges that Dailymotion has infringed the '472 patent, contributed to the infringement of and/or actively induced others to literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents infringe one or more claims of the '472 patent by having made, made on its behalf, offered for sale, sold, provided, used, maintained and supported infringing methods, products and/or systems listed in the Complaint.
- 27. Dailymotion does not and has not infringed, contributed to the infringement of, or induced others to literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents infringe any claim of the '472 patent.
- 28. Dailymotion is entitled to a declaratory judgment that it has not infringed and is not infringing the '472 patent.

# **COUNT EIGHT**

### (Declaratory Judgment of Invalidity of the '472 Patent)

- 29. Dailymotion incorporates paragraphs 1-28 of these counterclaims as if fully set forth herein.
- 30. One or more claims of the '472 patent are invalid for failure to comply with the requirements of patentability specified in Title 35 of the United States Code, including Sections 101, 102, 103 and 112.

31. Dailymotion is entitled to a declaratory judgment that one or more claims of the '472 patent are invalid.

## **DAILYMOTION'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Dailymotion prays for relief as follows:

- A. That the Court enter judgment in favor of Dailymotion, and against Blue Spike;
- B. That the Court find the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents not infringed by Dailymotion;
  - C. That the Court find the '175, '494, '700 or '472 patents invalid;
- D. That Blue Spike take nothing by its Original Complaint for Patent Infringement against Dailymotion;
- E. That the Court find this action exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285, and award Dailymotion its costs and fees in this action, including reasonable attorneys' fees;
- F. That the Court grant Dailymotion such other and further relief as it deems just and proper.

# **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Dailymotion demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: December 3, 2012

By: /s/ Eric H. Findlay

Eric H. Findlay (Texas Bar No. 00789886)
Walter W. Lackey, Jr. (Texas Bar No. 24050901)
FINDLAY CRAFT, LLP
6760 Old Jacksonville Hwy. Suite 101

6760 Old Jacksonville Hwy, Suite 101

Tyler, TX 75703

Telephone: (903) 534-1100 Facsimile: (903) 534-1137 efindlay@findlaycraft.com wlackey@findlaycraft.com I. Neel Chatterjee – *LEAD ATTORNEY*Gabriel M. Ramsey
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
1000 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
Telephone: (650) 614-7400
Facsimile: (650) 614-7401
gramsey@orrick.com

Christopher J. Higgins
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
Columbia Center
1152 15<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-1706
Telephone: (202) 339-8400
Facsimile: (202) 339-8500
chiggins@orrick.com

Attorneys for Defendant DAILYMOTION, INC.

nchatterjee@orrick.com

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was served electronically on opposing counsel pursuant to Local Rule CV-5(a)(7)(C) on December 3, 2012.

/s/ Eric H. Findlay
Eric H. Findlay